

Top Issues

What would you say are the most important issues or challenges facing Minnesota? Please select up to three.

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Jobs and the economy	19%	20%	26%	21%	10%	21%	18%	16%	22%	20%	*	*	9%
Income inequality	13%	17%	18%	10%	6%	12%	13%	12%	14%	12%	*	*	14%
Healthcare	20%	13%	24%	21%	21%	15%	25%	21%	18%	20%	*	*	19%
Taxes and budgets	27%	17%	25%	33%	31%	32%	23%	29%	24%	29%	*	*	15%
Abortion policy	9%	10%	4%	8%	14%	8%	10%	9%	9%	10%	*	*	7%
Gun policy	12%	9%	9%	15%	15%	14%	11%	12%	13%	13%	*	*	9%
Climate change and the													
environment	17%	21%	18%	14%	17%	16%	18%	14%	22%	17%	*	*	13%
Criminal justice reform	8%	9%	8%	8%	6%	10%	6%	8%	7%	7%	*	*	22%
Housing and homelessness	26%	37%	25%	22%	21%	22%	29%	27%	24%	24%	*	*	28%
Infrastructure and transportation	12%	18%	10%	8%	11%	12%	11%	10%	13%	11%	*	*	17%
Education	12%	11%	15%	11%	11%	9%	15%	8%	18%	12%	*	*	7%
Racism and race													
relations	9%	18%	9%	5%	6%	9%	9%	9%	10%	8%	*	*	31%
LGBTQ rights	2%	4%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	*	*	2%
The drug war and the													
opioid crisis	12%	10%	18%	9%	12%	10%	15%	14%	9%	11%	*	*	13%
Inflation	36%	37%	33%	40%	34%	38%	35%	42%	28%	37%	*	*	30%
COVID-19 Pandemic	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	*	*	2%
Voting rights	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	*	*	4%
Democracy	7%	5%	3%	7%	14%	8%	7%	7%	7%	8%	*	*	6%
Crime	30%	19%	27%	36%	36%	32%	28%	32%	27%	29%	*	*	35%
Other	7%	4%	4%	9%	9%	10%	4%	7%	7%	6%	*	*	6%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49



		Marit	al Status	Child	l U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Jobs and the economy	19%	21%	16%	26%	17%	26%	14%	15%	21%	*	18%	17%	24%
Income inequality	13%	10%	15%	13%	12%	15%	11%	15%	12%	*	14%	12%	12%
Healthcare	20%	19%	20%	22%	19%	19%	20%	22%	20%	*	18%	17%	23%
Taxes and budgets	27%	35%	18%	25%	28%	30%	25%	22%	29%	*	16%	30%	35%
Abortion policy	9%	10%	9%	5%	10%	9%	9%	11%	9%	*	9%	10%	7%
Gun policy	12%	14%	10%	9%	13%	12%	12%	12%	13%	*	8%	15%	14%
Climate change and the													
environment	17%	14%	21%	13%	19%	19%	16%	20%	16%	*	19%	17%	16%
Criminal justice reform	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	*	8%	5%	10%
Housing and													
homelessness	26%	19%	34%	26%	26%	25%	27%	25%	26%	*	35%	25%	21%
Infrastructure and													
transportation	12%	10%	13%	9%	12%	11%	12%	13%	11%	*	12%	10%	11%
Education	12%	14%	10%	18%	10%	13%	11%	14%	12%	*	10%	11%	14%
Racism and race													
relations	9%	8%	11%	9%	9%	8%	10%	12%	8%	*	9%	8%	11%
LGBTQ rights	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	*	2%	2%	2%
The drug war and the													
opioid crisis	12%	10%	14%	14%	11%	10%	14%	12%	11%	*	12%	13%	11%
Inflation	36%	37%	35%	35%	37%	35%	37%	30%	40%	*	44%	35%	31%
COVID-19 Pandemic	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%
Voting rights	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	*	4%	3%	3%
Democracy	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%	4%	10%	12%	6%	*	7%	9%	5%
Crime	30%	33%	26%	29%	30%	27%	32%	27%	31%	*	29%	32%	30%
Other	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	4%	7%	*	7%	7%	4%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235



			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Jobs and the economy	19%	14%	21%	24%	14%	21%	22%	15%	25%	*	17%
Income inequality	13%	17%	5%	10%	22%	12%	5%	17%	8%	*	17%
Healthcare	20%	24%	24%	14%	26%	22%	13%	23%	15%	*	29%
Taxes and budgets	27%	12%	33%	43%	10%	27%	43%	14%	44%	*	21%
Abortion policy	9%	13%	3%	7%	12%	7%	9%	12%	6%	*	5%
Gun policy	12%	14%	8%	11%	12%	12%	12%	15%	10%	*	3%
Climate change and the											
environment	17%	33%	15%	1%	39%	13%	1%	31%	0%	*	24%
Criminal justice reform	8%	8%	11%	7%	8%	9%	7%	9%	6%	*	7%
Housing and											
homelessness	26%	40%	25%	10%	38%	29%	11%	37%	12%	*	31%
Infrastructure and											
transportation	12%	15%	10%	8%	15%	12%	8%	15%	8%	*	4%
Education	12%	14%	13%	10%	14%	12%	10%	14%	10%	*	11%
Racism and race											
relations	9%	14%	9%	4%	15%	10%	3%	14%	5%	*	9%
LGBTQ rights	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	0%	3%	0%	*	2%
The drug war and the											
opioid crisis	12%	13%	13%	11%	10%	16%	11%	11%	12%	*	29%
Inflation	36%	20%	38%	54%	20%	33%	55%	20%	55%	*	31%
COVID-19 Pandemic	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	*	5%
Voting rights	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%	3%	3%	4%	*	0%
Democracy	7%	12%	3%	4%	13%	5%	4%	10%	3%	*	13%
Crime	30%	15%	33%	45%	11%	34%	44%	17%	46%	*	19%
Other	7%	2%	12%	10%	1%	6%	12%	3%	11%	*	2%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47



Housing Affordability Problem

Would you say that the cost of renting or buying a home in Minnesota is [a major problem, somewhat a problem, a minor problem, or not really a problem]?

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Major problem	46%	43%	47%	46%	49%	40%	52%	50%	41%	46%	*	*	47%
Somewhat a problem	39%	39%	37%	36%	42%	41%	36%	38%	40%	38%	*	*	44%
Minor problem	11%	16%	11%	12%	5%	16%	6%	9%	13%	11%	*	*	5%
Not really a problem	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	*	*	2%
Not sure	3%	0%	4%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	*	*	1%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Mari	tal Status	Child	3 U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	d		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Major problem	46%	41%	52%	42%	47%	47%	45%	50%	46%	*	56%	52%	29%
Somewhat a problem	39%	42%	34%	41%	38%	35%	42%	40%	38%	*	33%	33%	51%
Minor problem	11%	11%	10%	9%	11%	14%	9%	8%	11%	*	8%	11%	15%
Not really a problem	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	*	1%	1%	3%
Not sure	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	*	2%	3%	3%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Major problem	46%	47%	50%	45%	49%	44%	46%	45%	46%	*	48%
Somewhat a problem	39%	40%	37%	37%	38%	41%	37%	41%	37%	*	23%
Minor problem	11%	9%	9%	13%	9%	12%	11%	10%	11%	*	24%
Not really a problem	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	*	6%
Not sure	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	*	0%



					con	tinued from p	revious page	Э			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47



Agreement with Legislative Action on Housing

Do you [agree or disagree] with the following statement: State legislators should take action to address Minnesota's housing shortage and cost of housing in the state.

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly agree	45%	59%	50%	40%	34%	41%	49%	45%	46%	42%	*	*	62%
Somewhat agree	31%	28%	28%	31%	35%	32%	29%	30%	31%	32%	*	*	25%
Somewhat disagree	8%	3%	8%	10%	9%	6%	9%	9%	6%	8%	*	*	6%
Strongly disagree	10%	4%	7%	15%	13%	15%	5%	9%	10%	11%	*	*	2%
Not sure	7%	6%	8%	5%	8%	6%	8%	7%	7%	7%	*	*	6%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	al Status	Child	1 U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly agree	45%	37%	55%	51%	44%	46%	44%	43%	47%	*	60%	43%	36%
Somewhat agree	31%	31%	29%	26%	32%	30%	31%	31%	30%	*	24%	31%	35%
Somewhat disagree	8%	10%	5%	10%	7%	7%	8%	9%	7%	*	5%	8%	9%
Strongly disagree	10%	14%	5%	6%	11%	12%	8%	9%	10%	*	5%	11%	15%
Not sure	7%	8%	5%	8%	6%	5%	8%	8%	7%	*	5%	8%	4%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly agree	45%	58%	48%	30%	64%	44%	29%	56%	30%	*	53%
Somewhat agree	31%	33%	26%	29%	30%	35%	27%	34%	28%	*	25%
Somewhat disagree	8%	3%	9%	13%	2%	9%	11%	3%	13%	*	10%
Strongly disagree	10%	1%	14%	18%	1%	6%	22%	2%	20%	*	7%



					cont	inued from p	revious page	е			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Not sure	7%	4%	3%	11%	4%	6%	11%	5%	10%	*	6%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



Housing Taskforce Support Grid — A proposal modeled on policies from some communities that have made it easier to build smaller home types like duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes and townhomes. The proposal would allow these smaller homes types - duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, and townhomes - to be built on all residential lots in larger cities in Minnesota, and duplexes and townhomes to be built on all residential lots in suburban and rural Minnesota.

A Minnesota state housing taskforce and national experts have estimated we need 50,000 - 100,000 new homes to meet our housing needs. In light of this, the state legislature is considering the following proposals, for each, please say whether you [support or oppose] the proposal:

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly support	24%	28%	29%	24%	16%	23%	25%	21%	29%	21%	*	*	45%
Somewhat support	41%	51%	32%	43%	37%	38%	43%	42%	39%	42%	*	*	35%
Somewhat oppose	12%	1%	17%	11%	19%	13%	12%	12%	12%	13%	*	*	5%
Strongly oppose	14%	7%	10%	16%	23%	16%	12%	14%	14%	15%	*	*	11%
Not sure	9%	13%	11%	7%	5%	10%	8%	11%	6%	9%	*	*	5%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	al Status	Child	l U18	Employ	/ment	U	nion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly support	24%	20%	29%	26%	23%	23%	24%	20%	25%	*	28%	22%	24%
Somewhat support	41%	39%	43%	39%	41%	45%	38%	41%	42%	*	42%	40%	40%
Somewhat oppose	12%	15%	9%	13%	12%	12%	12%	11%	13%	*	9%	14%	13%
Strongly oppose	14%	19%	9%	11%	15%	13%	15%	17%	13%	*	11%	14%	15%
Not sure	9%	8%	10%	11%	8%	7%	10%	11%	7%	*	9%	10%	8%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly support	24%	35%	28%	10%	40%	23%	10%	34%	10%	*	35%
					conti	nued on the	next page				



					cont	inued from p	revious pag	е			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Somewhat support	41%	42%	43%	39%	40%	48%	35%	42%	38%	*	46%
Somewhat oppose	12%	8%	9%	18%	5%	12%	19%	9%	18%	*	0%
Strongly oppose	14%	5%	13%	25%	5%	10%	28%	5%	26%	*	4%
Not sure	9%	10%	8%	8%	11%	7%	9%	10%	7%	*	14%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



Housing Taskforce Support Grid — A proposal to incentivize builders to create regulated affordable housing and homes with lower carbon footprints. The proposal would allow more homes to be built on the same lot than would otherwise be permitted if they are affordable to low and middle income families or if they are extremely energy efficient.

A Minnesota state housing taskforce and national experts have estimated we need 50,000 - 100,000 new homes to meet our housing needs. In light of this, the state legislature is considering the following proposals, for each, please say whether you [support or oppose] the proposal:

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly support	30%	34%	36%	29%	21%	27%	33%	28%	33%	27%	*	*	46%
Somewhat support	32%	37%	27%	29%	33%	30%	32%	30%	34%	33%	*	*	30%
Somewhat oppose	15%	10%	16%	17%	16%	16%	14%	16%	13%	16%	*	*	3%
Strongly oppose	17%	10%	14%	19%	24%	21%	13%	18%	15%	18%	*	*	10%
Not sure	7%	10%	8%	5%	5%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	*	*	11%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	tal Status	Child	3 U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly support	30%	25%	36%	32%	29%	29%	30%	26%	30%	*	36%	30%	27%
Somewhat support	32%	31%	32%	34%	31%	32%	32%	34%	32%	*	30%	34%	30%
Somewhat oppose	15%	19%	10%	16%	15%	16%	14%	16%	14%	*	14%	12%	20%
Strongly oppose	17%	20%	13%	10%	19%	17%	17%	18%	16%	*	14%	19%	15%
Not sure	7%	6%	8%	9%	6%	6%	8%	6%	8%	*	6%	5%	8%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly support	30%	45%	36%	12%	48%	30%	12%	43%	13%	*	33%
Somewhat support	32%	38%	24%	26%	38%	32%	26%	39%	23%	*	36%



					cont	inued from p	revious pag	е			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Somewhat oppose	15%	8%	13%	23%	6%	17%	21%	8%	23%	*	11%
Strongly oppose	17%	3%	16%	32%	2%	13%	34%	4%	34%	*	5%
Not sure	7%	6%	10%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	7%	*	15%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



Housing Taskforce Support Grid — A proposal that would allow all property owners in Minnesota to add small homes – sometimes called "accessory dwelling units," "backyard cottages," or "granny flats" - to all residential properties.

A Minnesota state housing taskforce and national experts have estimated we need 50,000 - 100,000 new homes to meet our housing needs. In light of this, the state legislature is considering the following proposals, for each, please say whether you [support or oppose] the proposal:

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly support	31%	38%	30%	33%	25%	25%	37%	32%	30%	30%	*	*	43%
Somewhat support	39%	39%	38%	38%	40%	41%	37%	39%	38%	39%	*	*	34%
Somewhat oppose	11%	6%	12%	11%	14%	11%	10%	9%	13%	11%	*	*	1%
Strongly oppose	9%	6%	6%	10%	15%	12%	7%	9%	10%	10%	*	*	4%
Not sure	10%	11%	15%	8%	8%	11%	9%	11%	10%	9%	*	*	19%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	al Status	Child	1 U18	Employ	/ment	U	Inion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly support	31%	25%	38%	34%	30%	30%	32%	30%	31%	*	38%	31%	27%
Somewhat support	39%	42%	35%	33%	40%	41%	37%	35%	40%	*	36%	38%	42%
Somewhat oppose	11%	11%	10%	9%	11%	11%	11%	13%	10%	*	8%	11%	11%
Strongly oppose	9%	12%	6%	9%	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	*	8%	10%	9%
Not sure	10%	10%	11%	15%	9%	8%	12%	12%	10%	*	10%	9%	10%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly support	31%	36%	34%	24%	36%	35%	22%	35%	24%	*	47%
Somewhat support	39%	37%	41%	41%	37%	42%	37%	38%	40%	*	33%
Somewhat oppose	11%	11%	1%	13%	11%	7%	13%	9%	12%	*	8%



					cont	inued from p	revious pag	Э			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly oppose	9%	5%	8%	15%	3%	6%	18%	6%	15%	*	3%
Not sure	10%	11%	16%	7%	12%	9%	10%	12%	9%	*	9%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



Number of Houses per Lot – taskforce_lot_number_scale

A Minnesota state housing taskforce and national experts have estimated we need 50,000 - 100,000 new homes to meet our housing needs. In light of this, the state legislature is considering allowing more homes to be built on plots of land in residential neighborhoods. In your opinion, how many homes should it be legal to build on a standard lot in a residential neighborhood?

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
1 - Only one	34%	9%	33%	42%	52%	37%	33%	35%	34%	37%	*	*	20%
2	28%	19%	26%	34%	33%	23%	33%	27%	30%	29%	*	*	34%
3	6%	10%	6%	5%	3%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	*	*	34%
4	6%	12%	5%	5%	1%	6%	6%	3%	10%	6%	*	*	0%
5	5%	6%	6%	3%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	*	*	7%
6	5%	6%	10%	2%	2%	5%	5%	6%	3%	4%	*	*	3%
7	4%	13%	3%	1%	0%	6%	2%	5%	3%	4%	*	*	1%
8	5%	9%	3%	5%	1%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	*	*	0%
9	2%	3%	3%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	*	*	0%
10 - Ten or more	6%	14%	4%	3%	2%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	*	*	0%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	al Status	Child	U18	Employ	ment	U	Inion Household	i		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
1 - Only one	34%	41%	26%	28%	36%	32%	37%	36%	34%	*	27%	35%	36%
2	28%	27%	29%	24%	29%	25%	31%	29%	28%	*	29%	31%	26%
3	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	7%	6%	5%	*	7%	7%	5%
4	6%	3%	9%	5%	6%	8%	4%	6%	6%	*	6%	6%	6%
5	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	6%	*	3%	5%	4%
6	5%	3%	8%	6%	5%	4%	6%	2%	5%	*	7%	4%	4%
7	4%	2%	6%	6%	4%	6%	3%	8%	2%	*	4%	4%	5%
8	5%	6%	3%	7%	4%	8%	2%	1%	7%	*	3%	3%	9%



						contin	ued from	orevious page	e				
		Marit	al Status	Child	1 U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	<u> </u>		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
9	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	*	2%	0%	2%
10 - Ten or more	6%	5%	7%	12%	4%	6%	5%	7%	5%	*	10%	4%	4%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
1 - Only one	34%	20%	31%	51%	17%	34%	52%	23%	51%	*	13%
2	28%	31%	28%	26%	31%	27%	26%	31%	27%	*	19%
3	6%	9%	9%	2%	9%	7%	2%	8%	2%	*	5%
4	6%	9%	4%	3%	9%	5%	3%	8%	2%	*	13%
5	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	*	9%
6	5%	7%	9%	1%	7%	5%	2%	6%	2%	*	16%
7	4%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%	*	7%
8	5%	7%	1%	3%	7%	5%	3%	7%	3%	*	2%
9	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	*	13%
10 - Ten or more	6%	6%	9%	4%	8%	6%	3%	7%	4%	*	3%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



Taskforce Proposal Joint Support

A Minnesota state housing taskforce and national experts have estimated we need 50,000 - 100,000 new homes to meet our housing needs. In light of this, the state legislature is considering a proposal that, in cities across Minnesota, would: Allow apartment buildings to be built in areas primarily zoned for retail, offices, and other commercial uses. Allow apartment buildings in areas zoned for commercial, mixed use, and multifamily housing to be approved by city planning staff if they meet set criteria outlined by the City without requiring a special vote from city council or a public hearing. Generally speaking, do you support or oppose this proposal?

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly support	24%	31%	29%	24%	15%	24%	25%	19%	32%	23%	*	*	36%
Somewhat support	46%	47%	44%	43%	51%	48%	44%	47%	45%	47%	*	*	31%
Somewhat oppose	11%	6%	10%	12%	15%	10%	12%	12%	9%	11%	*	*	12%
Strongly oppose	8%	5%	8%	10%	10%	10%	6%	10%	6%	9%	*	*	4%
Not sure	10%	11%	10%	11%	9%	8%	12%	12%	8%	10%	*	*	17%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	al Status	Child	1 U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly support	24%	22%	27%	29%	23%	25%	24%	25%	24%	*	26%	24%	26%
Somewhat support	46%	47%	45%	46%	46%	48%	44%	46%	46%	*	44%	51%	45%
Somewhat oppose	11%	11%	10%	9%	11%	9%	12%	11%	11%	*	11%	8%	14%
Strongly oppose	8%	12%	4%	6%	9%	9%	8%	9%	8%	*	8%	6%	11%
Not sure	10%	7%	14%	10%	11%	8%	12%	9%	11%	*	12%	11%	4%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly support	24%	35%	20%	14%	39%	24%	12%	33%	14%	*	24%
					conti	nued on the	next page				



					cont	inued from p	revious page	Э			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Somewhat support	46%	46%	53%	45%	47%	46%	46%	46%	45%	*	60%
Somewhat oppose	11%	6%	17%	15%	5%	13%	14%	9%	14%	*	7%
Strongly oppose	8%	3%	6%	15%	2%	7%	16%	3%	15%	*	1%
Not sure	10%	11%	4%	12%	8%	10%	13%	9%	12%	*	8%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



Change Environmental Rights Act Support

Opponents of new housing in Minnesota recently won a victory in court requiring costly environmental review of city comprehensive housing plans. The state legislature is considering a proposal to make changes to the Minnesota Environmental Rights Act to clarify that environmental review requirements are meant to apply only to individual building projects and that comprehensive plans on housing - and housing only - can be adopted through a standard public engagement process and without additional reviews. This proposal would allow for city comprehensive plans to be implemented at their normal speed rather than face additional delays. Generally speaking, do you [support or oppose] this proposal?

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly support	17%	19%	22%	15%	13%	21%	13%	13%	23%	16%	*	*	20%
Somewhat support	36%	38%	31%	42%	33%	36%	36%	38%	34%	36%	*	*	33%
Somewhat oppose	12%	12%	9%	13%	15%	14%	11%	13%	12%	13%	*	*	6%
Strongly oppose	8%	2%	9%	8%	12%	9%	7%	6%	10%	8%	*	*	7%
Not sure	26%	29%	29%	21%	27%	19%	33%	30%	21%	26%	*	*	35%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	tal Status	Chile	d U18	Employ	/ment	U	Inion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly support	17%	14%	21%	20%	16%	19%	16%	19%	16%	*	18%	20%	16%
Somewhat support	36%	36%	37%	36%	36%	38%	35%	29%	40%	*	41%	31%	39%
Somewhat oppose	12%	13%	11%	12%	13%	13%	12%	15%	11%	*	11%	13%	12%
Strongly oppose	8%	12%	4%	9%	8%	9%	7%	9%	7%	*	5%	8%	10%
Not sure	26%	25%	28%	23%	27%	22%	30%	28%	25%	*	25%	28%	24%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly support	17%	23%	13%	11%	25%	12%	14%	24%	11%	*	9%



					cont	inued from p	revious page	е			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Somewhat support	36%	36%	35%	37%	36%	39%	34%	35%	35%	*	47%
Somewhat oppose	12%	11%	16%	13%	10%	15%	12%	12%	14%	*	4%
Strongly oppose	8%	4%	7%	13%	3%	5%	15%	4%	13%	*	1%
Not sure	26%	26%	29%	27%	25%	30%	24%	25%	27%	*	40%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47



Do you [approve or disapprove] of the way Tim Walz is handling his job as Governor?



			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	1		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly approve	29%	26%	28%	29%	32%	28%	30%	23%	37%	29%	*	*	32%
Somewhat approve	26%	36%	27%	23%	17%	24%	27%	27%	23%	24%	*	*	36%
Somewhat disapprove	12%	16%	13%	10%	9%	7%	16%	13%	10%	12%	*	*	7%
Strongly disapprove	31%	17%	27%	38%	41%	39%	24%	35%	26%	33%	*	*	20%
Not sure	3%	5%	5%	0%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	*	*	5%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	tal Status	Child	1 U18	Employ	ment	U	Inion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly approve	29%	27%	31%	23%	30%	28%	30%	37%	24%	*	27%	28%	31%
Somewhat approve	26%	22%	30%	28%	25%	28%	23%	24%	28%	*	28%	25%	26%
Somewhat disapprove	12%	12%	12%	19%	10%	12%	12%	11%	13%	*	9%	15%	12%
Strongly disapprove	31%	36%	25%	26%	33%	30%	32%	26%	32%	*	32%	32%	28%
Not sure	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	*	3%	2%	3%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly approve	29%	57%	11%	2%	65%	20%	2%	54%	2%	*	22%
Somewhat approve	26%	34%	34%	14%	26%	38%	13%	34%	13%	*	42%
Somewhat disapprove	12%	5%	14%	18%	4%	17%	14%	6%	17%	*	21%
Strongly disapprove	31%	1%	33%	64%	1%	21%	70%	2%	67%	*	10%
Not sure	3%	2%	7%	1%	3%	4%	1%	4%	1%	*	4%



					con	tinued from p	revious page	Э			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47



Tim Walz Housing

And thinking about issues related to housing in Minnesota, do you [approve or disapprove] of the way Tim Walz is handling his job as Governor?

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	n		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Strongly approve	14%	11%	12%	13%	19%	13%	14%	11%	18%	13%	*	*	12%
Somewhat approve	29%	31%	36%	29%	21%	30%	29%	30%	28%	28%	*	*	42%
Somewhat disapprove	12%	13%	9%	12%	13%	9%	15%	14%	9%	12%	*	*	11%
Strongly disapprove	27%	15%	23%	33%	36%	34%	21%	30%	22%	29%	*	*	17%
Not sure	18%	30%	21%	13%	11%	14%	21%	15%	23%	18%	*	*	18%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Mari	tal Status	Child	1 U18	Employ	ment	U	nion Household	t		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Strongly approve	14%	16%	11%	12%	14%	13%	14%	21%	11%	*	13%	13%	16%
Somewhat approve	29%	27%	32%	31%	29%	33%	26%	25%	31%	*	29%	27%	34%
Somewhat disapprove	12%	11%	12%	18%	10%	11%	12%	7%	14%	*	14%	14%	8%
Strongly disapprove	27%	30%	23%	19%	29%	26%	27%	22%	28%	*	27%	28%	22%
Not sure	18%	16%	21%	20%	18%	16%	20%	25%	16%	*	17%	19%	20%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235

			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Strongly approve	14%	28%	7%	0%	29%	11%	1%	26%	0%	*	11%
Somewhat approve	29%	42%	32%	14%	40%	37%	11%	43%	12%	*	46%
Somewhat disapprove	12%	7%	14%	17%	4%	15%	16%	6%	18%	*	14%
Strongly disapprove	27%	1%	33%	54%	2%	20%	57%	3%	56%	*	9%
Not sure	18%	22%	13%	15%	25%	16%	15%	22%	14%	*	20%



					con	tinued from p	revious page	Э			
			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47



More Important for MN Statement

And even if it isn't exactly right, which of the following is closer to your view?

			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	n		F	Race	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Building more affordable housing is more important for Minnesota	55%	74%	55%	52%	40%	51%	58%	55%	55%	53%	*	*	72%
Protecting the character of neighborhoods from change is more important for	2.20/		200/	2-2/			200/		200/	200/			
Minnesota	31%	17%	30%	35%	42%	35%	28%	30%	33%	33%	*	*	16%
Not sure	14%	9%	14%	13%	18%	14%	14%	15%	12%	14%	*	*	11%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49

		Marit	tal Status	Child	d U18	Employ	/ment	U	nion Household	ł		Income	
	Total	Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Building more affordable housing is more important for Minnesota	55%	47%	64%	61%	53%	56%	54%	56%	53%	*	64%	50%	54%
Protecting the character of neighborhoods from change is more important for													
Minnesota	31%	38%	23%	28%	32%	30%	33%	28%	34%	*	24%	35%	33%
Not sure	14%	15%	13%	11%	15%	15%	13%	16%	13%	*	12%	15%	12%
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235



			Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
	Total	Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Building more affordable housing is more important for Minnesota	55%	73%	58%	34%	80%	56%	30%	71%	34%	*	63%
Protecting the character of neighborhoods from change is more important for											
Minnesota	31%	16%	25%	50%	10%	30%	53%	18%	50%	*	9%
Not sure	14%	11%	17%	16%	10%	14%	17%	11%	16%	*	28%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47



MN Legislators Priority Statement

And even if it isn't exactly right, which of the following is closer to your view?

			A	ge			Gender		Educa	ation		R	ace	
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Mar	n Wo	man L	ess than colle	ge College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Minnesota state legislators should prioritize building more homes and bringing down housing costs	58%	68%	61%	55%	49%	50%	% 6	55%	58%	58%	55%	*	*	81%
Minnesota state legislators should prioritize preserving the power the city government has over what types of homes														
can be built and where	29%	16%	27%	34%	36%	359	6 2	23%	28%	29%	31%	*	*	10%
Not sure	13%	16%	12%	11%	15%	149	6 1	.3%	14%	13%	14%	*	*	10%
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	41	4	477	343	719	39	13	49
		Marit	al Status		Child U1	8	Emplo	yment	U	nion Household	d		Income	
	Total	Married	Not mar	ried Y	es N	lo Fi	ıll Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Minnesota state legislators should prioritize building more homes and bringing														
down housing costs	58%	50%	67%	5 6	2% 5	7%	57%	58%	54%	60%	*	71%	52%	56%
							contin	ued on th	e next page					



							contin	ued from	previous pag	е				
		Mari	ital Status		Child	d U18	Employ	ment	U	Inion Househol	d		Income	
	Total	Married	Not mar	ried	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+
Minnesota state legislators should prioritize preserving the power the city government has over what types of homes	200/	250/	000		0.50/	200/	200/	200/	200/	070/		100/	200/	219/
can be built and where	29%	36%	20%		26%	29%	30%	28%	32%	27%	*	19%	32%	31%
Not sure	13%	14%	13%		12%	14%	13%	14%	14%	13%	*	10%	17%	13%
Weighted N	820	448	372	1	78	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235
			F	arty ID				Ideology	,		2020) Vote		
	Tot	tal E	em.	Ind.	F	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not	vote
Minnesota state legislators should prioritize building more homes and bringing down housing costs	58	3%	71%	66%		41%	74%	62%	39%	70%	42%	*	68'	%
Minnesota state legislators should prioritize preserving the power the city government has over what types of homes			. = / V	30,0		-2/0		32,0	33,3	.570		·		, •
can be built and where	29	9%	15%	23%		46%	13%	27%	46%	17%	45%	*	22'	%
Not sure	13	3%	14%	11%		13%	14%	11%	15%	13%	14%	*	10	%
Weighted N	820	3	82	95	3	44	267	274	279	406	349	18	47	



Assessment of Own City

How would you rate your city's or town's efforts to keep housing affordable?

	Total			A	ge		Ge	ender	Education	า	Race			
		18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	Man	Woman	Less than college	College	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	
Very good	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	*	*	4%	
Good	15%	18%	15%	15%	12%	19%	12%	13%	17%	16%	*	*	4%	
Acceptable	29%	22%	32%	34%	27%	28%	31%	24%	36%	30%	*	*	25%	
Poor	29%	33%	31%	25%	26%	29%	28%	33%	22%	26%	*	*	53%	
Very Poor	11%	12%	7%	11%	11%	9%	12%	12%	9%	10%	*	*	3%	
No opinion	13%	10%	12%	11%	19%	11%	16%	14%	12%	14%	*	*	12%	
Weighted N	820	193	197	225	205	397	414	477	343	719	39	13	49	

	Total			tal Status	Chile	1 U18	Employ	ment	U	Inion Household	t		Income	
		Married	Not married	Yes	No	Full Time	Not FT	Union HH	Not union HH	Not sure	<50K	50-100K	100K+	
Very good	4%	4%	2%	6%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	*	2%	4%	4%	
Good	15%	16%	14%	17%	14%	15%	15%	17%	13%	*	12%	14%	19%	
Acceptable	29%	34%	23%	29%	29%	32%	27%	27%	31%	*	25%	28%	35%	
Poor	29%	24%	34%	25%	30%	29%	29%	26%	29%	*	33%	32%	24%	
Very Poor	11%	7%	14%	12%	10%	10%	11%	10%	11%	*	18%	9%	4%	
No opinion	13%	14%	12%	11%	14%	11%	15%	17%	11%	*	10%	13%	14%	
Weighted N	820	448	372	178	642	363	457	228	504	16	253	278	235	

	Total		Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
		Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Very good	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	*	3%
Good	15%	18%	6%	15%	18%	12%	15%	17%	13%	*	20%
Acceptable	29%	26%	37%	31%	27%	28%	31%	27%	30%	*	32%



	continued from previous page										
	Total		Party ID			Ideology			20	20 Vote	
		Dem.	Ind.	Rep.	Lib.	Mod.	Cons.	Biden	Trump	Other	Did not vote
Poor	29%	33%	32%	22%	31%	36%	19%	32%	25%	*	26%
Very Poor	11%	9%	10%	12%	10%	11%	11%	11%	11%	*	8%
No opinion	13%	11%	10%	16%	11%	10%	19%	11%	17%	*	10%
Weighted N	820	382	95	344	267	274	279	406	349	18	47

Margin of error: +/-4.2%.



This survey is based on 820 interviews conducted by YouGov on the internet of registered voters in Minnesota The sample was weighted according to gender, age, race/ethnicity, education based on voter registration lists, the U.S. Census American Community Survey, and the U.S. Census Current Population Survey, as well as 2020 Presidential vote, state regions, and voter turnout. Respondents were selected from YouGov to be representative of registered voters in Minnesota The weights range from 0.13 to 6.03 with a mean of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.71.

The margin of error (a 95% confidence interval) for a sample percentage p based upon the subsetted sample is approximately 4.2%. It is calculated using the formula:

$$\hat{p} \pm 100 imes \sqrt{rac{1 + \mathsf{CV}^2}{n}}$$

where CV is the coefficient of variation of the sample weights and n is the sample size used to compute the proportion. This is a measure of sampling error (the average of all estimates obtained using the same sample selection and weighting procedures repeatedly). The sample estimate should differ from its expected value by less than margin of error in 95 percent of all samples. It does not reflect non-sampling errors, including potential selection bias in panel participation or in response to a particular survey.